This article was downloaded by: On: 24 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597273

# Reverse-Phase Liquid Chromatography of Phenol-Formaldehyde All-Ortho Oligomers

Mara Cornia<sup>a</sup>; Giovanni Sartori<sup>a</sup>; Giuseppe Casnati<sup>a</sup>; Giovanni Casiraghi<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> Istituto di Chimica Organica dell 'Università Via M. D', Parma, Italy

To cite this Article Cornia, Mara , Sartori, Giovanni , Casnati, Giuseppe and Casiraghi, Giovanni(1981) 'Reverse-Phase Liquid Chromatography of Phenol-Formaldehyde All-Ortho Oligomers', Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies, 4: 1, 13 - 22

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/01483918108064792 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01483918108064792

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

## REVERSE-PHASE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY OF PHENOL-FORMALDEHYDE ALL-ORTHO OLIGOMERS

Mara Cornia, Giovanni Sartori, Giuseppe Casnati, and Giovanni Casiraghi Istituto di Chimica Organica dell'Università Via M. D'Azeglio 85, I-43100 Parma, Italy

## ABSTRACT

High Performance Liquid Chromatography was proven to be a powerful method for the separation and quantitation of ortho-linked Phenol-Formaldehyde oligomers. A homologous series of oligo [(2hydroxyl-1,3-phenylene)methylene]s (dinuclear to octanuclear compounds) was analyzed. The samples were separated by reverse-phase chromatography and monitored at 280 nm. Optimum conditions were obtained on a  $\mu$ -Bondapack C18 column employing isocratic ambient elution with a metanol/water 80:20 (v/v) mixture. Four reference mixtures of oligomers of known composition were used to assure the reliability of the method. Subsequent analysis of two samples of all-ortho novolac resins was performed in order to substantiate further the validity of the technique.

#### INTRODUCTION

Among the phenolic resins, Phenol-Formaldehyde (P-F) novolacs in which all phenolic nuclei are linked by an <u>ortho-ortho</u> methylene bridge, represent a class of substances of special interest and broad utility since it exhibits shortened curing periods over the conventional products(1).

In the past, GLC has been used extensively to separate quantitatively low molecular wieght P-F condensation products. This technique, combined with other analytical methods (IR, UV, paper chromatography, GPC, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, and mass spectroscopy), provided structural information such as the ratio of <u>ortho/para</u> linkages and the number-average molecular weight, but failed to give the exact composition of the resin. Recently (2), <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy has proved to be a more powerful tool for the analysis of these resins. In this field, however, HPLC analysis has received only marginal attention and has been mainly applied to alkalinecatalyzed P-F condensates (3) or to individual phenolic compounds (4).

Our interest in the application of coordinating metal phenolates in the <u>ortho</u>-site specific P-F condensations (5) and in the control of practical syntheses of faster curing novolacs (6) prompted us to develop an accurate quantitative technique for the rapid characterization of resins of this type. This paper reports the quantitation of <u>ortho</u>-linked P-F oligomers and related resins by reverse-phase HPLC.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

#### Apparatus

HPLC was performed using a Model 6000A pump (Water Associates, Milford, Mass.), a U6K injector (Waters), and a Model 440 UV detector (Waters). The samples were separated at ambient temperature on a 30 cm x 3.9 mm i.d.  $\mu$ -Bondapak C<sub>18</sub> high efficiency column (Pat.No.27324, Waters) and monitored at 280 nm. The recorder was an OmniScribe (Houston Instruments, Austin, Texas) instrument. A mobile phase of 80% methanol in water with a flow rate of 2.0 ml/min was used throughout this work. Actual sample mass, injection volume, and other LC operating parameters are indicated in each chromatogram. All the sampleswere dissolved in methanol and filtered on Millipore FHLP 0.5  $\mu$ m filters prior to the injection. Standard solutions of each oligomer were prepared at various concentrations in order to cover a range of 0.3 to 6.0  $\mu$ g per injection.

#### Chemicals

The oligo [(2-hydroxy-1,3-phenylene)methylene]s dinuclear to octanuclear derivatives) used were prepared in our lab according to a previously described (5) ortho-specific P-F oligomerization with a purity of 99+% by HPLC. The resin NOVO-A was prepared in benzene from bromomagnesium phenoxide and paraformaldehyde (3:2 mol/mol); colorless homogeneous powder, m.p. 56-60 °C (6). The resin NOVO-B was prepared in xylene (5 mol) from phenol and paraformaldehyde (3:2 mol/mol); pale yellow glassy solid, m.p. 46-50 °C (7). RS-HPLC grade methanol, obtained from Carlo Erba, Milano, was used without further purification. Redistilled water (Carlo Erba) was filtered on Sep-Pak C<sub>18</sub> cartridges and degassed immediately prior to use.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A representative separation of a standard solution of di- to octanuclear P-F oligomers is illustrated in Figure 1. Optimum resolution of components and time of analysis were achieved on a  $\mu$ -Bondapak C<sub>18</sub> reverse - phase column employing isocratic ambient elution with a solvent mixture of methanol/water 80:20 (v/v) as described in the Experimental. In the range of 0.3 to 6.0  $\mu$ g of injected sample the UV detector response at 280 nm was linear for all seven oligomers. The standard calibration curves showed excellent linearity with a correlation coefficient greater than 0.99.

The precision of the oligomer analysis is shown in Table 1. The average %RSD (relative std deviation) using peak area was 2.62% while no significant loss of precision was observed in the size ranging from 1.0 to 5.0  $\mu$ g. The relative response factors were calculated using the mean peak area and were as follows: <u>Di</u> = 1.000, <u>Tri</u> = 1.009, <u>Tetra</u> = 0.998, <u>Penta</u> = 0.996, <u>Hexa</u> = 0.997, <u>Hepta</u> = 0.993, and <u>Octa</u> = 0.990.

There was an insignificant variation in response factors with a decrease of less than 0.01% for di- to octanuclear oligomers thus



FIGURE 1

Chromatogram of a P-F oligomer reference mixture. Conditions: detector sensitivity 0.1 AUFS; back pressure 2800 psi; flow-rate 2.0 ml/min; chart speed 2.0 cm/min; peak identities: (1) phenol, (2) 1.01  $\mu$ g Di (n = 0), (3) 1.25  $\mu$ g Tri (n = 1), (4) 1.63  $\mu$ g Tetra (n = 2), (5) 0.25  $\mu$ g Penta (n = 3), (6) 1.00  $\mu$ g Mexa (n = 4), (7) 0.54  $\mu$ g Hepta (n = 5), and (8) 0.64  $\mu$ g Octa (n = 6) in 12.5  $\mu$ 1 methanol.

#### PHENOL-FORMALDEHYDE ALL-ORTHO OLIGOMERS

TABLE 1 Precision of <u>Ortho</u> P-F Oligomers (3.66 µg sample) Peak Areas (cm<sup>2</sup>)

| <u>Di</u>     | <u>Tri</u>   | Tetra   | Penta   | Hexa   | Hepta   | <u>Octa</u>   |
|---------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| 12.196        | 12.010   | 11.685  | 12.120  | 11.788   | 11.968  | 12.120  |
| 12.204        | 11.940   | 12.180  | 11.170  | 11.968   | 12.030  | 12.150  |
| 12.015        | 11.967   | 12.035  | 12.056  | 12.312   | 12.110  | 11.935  |
| 11.969        | 12.453   | 12.852  | 11.960  | 12.272   | 11.652  | 11.023  |
| 12.246        | 12.469   | 12.240  | 11.936  | 12.156   | 11.354  | 12.411  |
| 12.302        | 12.090   | 12.300  | 12.044  | 11.932   | 11.561  | 11.832  |
| 11.790        | 11.697   | 11.542  | 12.289  | 12.042   | 12.211  | 11.598  |
| 12.401        | 11.942   | 12.420  | 12.456  | 12.213   | 11.813  | 12.232  |
| 12.601        | 12.014   | 12.354  | 12.198  | 12.456   | 12.223  | 12.010  |
| 11.940        | 12.551   | 11.890  | 11.988  | 12.234   | 12.322  | 12.036  |
| 12.166        | 12.281   | 12.148  | 12.122  | 12.137   | 12.087  | 12.045  |
|               |  |   |   |  |   |   |
| <u>+</u> .215 | <u>+</u> .334  | <u>+</u> .490   | ±.355   | <u>+</u> .235  | ±.365   | <u>+</u> .240   |
| 1.77%         | 2.71%  | 4.03%   | 2.93%   | 1.93%  | 3.01%   | 1.99%   |
|               | <u>Di</u><br>12.196<br>12.204<br>12.015<br>11.969<br>12.246<br>12.302<br>11.790<br>12.401<br>12.601<br>11.940<br>12.166<br>± .215<br>1.77% | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | DiTriTetraPenta12.19612.01011.68512.12012.20411.94012.18011.17012.01511.96712.03512.05611.96912.45312.85211.96012.24612.46912.24011.93612.30212.09012.30012.04411.79011.69711.54212.28912.40111.94212.42012.45612.60112.01412.35412.19811.94012.55111.89011.98812.16612.28112.14812.122±.215±<.334 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

offering a fortunate circumstance for our quantitation. Thus, for routine analyses, peak area should be the method of choice with no necessity of response correction for di- to octanuclear oligomers.

The use of a common calibration plot (slope  $3.23 \text{ cm}^2/\mu g$ ; intercept 0.43 cm<sup>2</sup>; correlation coefficient 0.998) in Figure 2, possible because of the negligible variance in the calibration constants of individual curves, is the most attractive feature of this method in its application to the quantitation of <u>ortho</u>-linked P-F oligomers. The most likely explanation for the near coincidence of all calibration plots lies in the well-known linear dependence of the molar absorptivity upon the number of phenolic units in the polyphenol oligomer series (8, 9). Assuming that in all oligomers there is, on the average, the same number of phenolic units per unit of weight,



Load-response curve for di- to octanucelar P-F ortho-oligomers. ■Di; • Tri; Tetra, Penta, Hexa; • Hepta; • Octa. Solid line is a linear least-squares fit to data from 0.29 to 5.65 µg.

the UV absorption at 280 nm ( $\lambda_{max}$  for all oligomers) gives the same integrated value for unit of weight for each component whatever its molecular weight.

Analysis of four mixtures of all-<u>ortho</u> P-F oligomers of known composition, as summarized in Table 2, shows an average relative error of 4.02%.

#### PHENOL-FORMALDEHYDE ALL-ORTHO OLIGOMERS

## TABLE 2

P-F Oligomer Mixture Composition (Weight %)

|               | <u>Di</u> | <u>Tri</u> | Tetra         | Penta | Hexa  | Hepta | <u>Octa</u> |
|---------------|-----------|------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|
| MIXTURE 1     |           |            |               |       |       |       |             |
| Certified     | 15.98     | 19.78      | 25.79         | 3.95  | 15.82 | 8.54  | 10.13       |
| Experimental  | 16.27     | 20.57      | 26.63         | 3.82  | 15.31 | 8.34  | 9.41        |
| Rel.Error (%) | 1.81      | 3.99       | 3.26          | 3.29  | 3.22  | 2.34  | 7.11        |
| MIXTURE 2     |           |            |               |       |       |       |             |
| Certified     | 14.36     | 16.52      | 23.34         | 4.49  | 14.36 | 16.16 | 10.77       |
| Experimental  | 14.05     | 15.99      | 23.64         | 4.72  | 14.03 | 15.51 | 9.93        |
| Rel.Error (%) | 2.15      | 3.20       | 1.28          | 5.12  | 2.29  | 4.02  | 7.80        |
| MIXTURE 3     |           |            |               |       |       |       |             |
| Certified     | 16.24     | 19.28      | 26.39         | 5.07  | 16.24 | 6.60  | 10.15       |
| Experimental  | 16.08     | 20.10      | 27 <b>.39</b> | 5.27  | 16.83 | 6.28  | 9.04        |
| Rel.Error (%) | 0.98      | 4.25       | 3.78          | 3.94  | 3.63  | 4.84  | 10.93       |
| MIXTURE 4     |           |            |               |       |       |       |             |
| Certified     | 18.31     | 18.31      | 32.04         | 1.33  | 18.31 | 4.94  | 6.77        |
| Experimental  | 17.70     | 19.53      | 31.18         | 1.31  | 17.80 | 5.26  | 7.18        |
| Rel.Error (%) | 3.33      | 6.66       | 2.68          | 1.50  | 2.78  | 6.48  | 6.05        |
|               |           |            |               |       |       |       |             |

Average Relative Error, 4.02% (0.98% - 10.93%)

From the presented data it appears that the reverse-phase technique is especially appropriate for the analysis of identified components in novolac resins. The  $C_{18}$  column was able to separate all seven oligomers with both high speed and good resolution.

As an application of this procedure, analysis of two fastercuring novolac samples was carried out. Fingerprints obtained for NOVO-A and NOVO-B resins are compared in Figure 3. The compositions of the major oligomers are shown in Table 3.



Separation of oligomers of all-<u>ortho</u> novolac resins. Resin NOVO-A (left), load 3  $\mu$ g in 6 ml of methanol; resin NOVO-B (right), load 3  $\mu$ g in 10 ml of methanol. Conditions and peak identities as in Figure 1.

The results of the HPLC runs for both samples agree, within the experimental error, with the values obtained by other techniques. From these and the above data it is clear that the application of this method to the isolation and quantitation of various polyphenol components is quite facile.

## CONCLUSIONS

We have found a suitable HPLC procedure for the identification, separation, and quantitation of methylene-bridged polyphenol com-

#### PHENOL-FORMALDEHYDE ALL-ORTHO OLIGOMERS

TABLE 3 Major Oligomer Composition of All-<u>ortho</u> Novolac Resins (Weight %)

|                                      | Di     | <u>Tri</u> | <u>Tetra</u> | Penta | Hexa |
|--------------------------------------|--------|------------|--------------|-------|------|
| RESIN NOVO-A                         |        |            |              |       |      |
| Composition %                        | 39.84  | 33.53      | 26.06        |       |      |
| Mn(HPLC)                             | 289.64 |            |              |       |      |
| M <sub>n</sub> ( <sup>1</sup> H NMR) | 280.60 |            |              |       |      |
| $\overline{M}n(^{13}C \text{ NMR})$  | 286.51 |            |              |       |      |
| ${ m \ddot{M}}_n({ m Osmometry})$    | 294.00 |            |              |       |      |
| RESIN NOVO-B                         |        |            |              |       |      |
| Composition %                        | 53.30  | 26.89      | 13.21        | 4.72  | 1.89 |
| Mn(HPLC)                             | 279.55 |            |              |       |      |
| Mm( <sup>1</sup> H NMR)              | 271.40 |            |              |       |      |
| M̄n ( <sup>13</sup> C NMR)           | 275.60 |            |              |       |      |
| Mn(Osmometry)                        | 283.00 |            |              |       |      |

pounds occurring in all-<u>ortho</u> P-F novolac resins. Although only seven oligomers (di- to octanuclear) were considered, this was sufficient for the analysis of commercial resins with an average molecular weight ranging from 200 to 600 max. The technique had %RSD from 1.77% for di- to 4.03 for tetranuclear oligomer and the analysis had an average relative error less than 4.05% for analysis of known mixtures. The methodology reportedhere could be useful for the investigation of faster-curing P-F resins in which <u>ortho-ortho</u> methylenes are the sole or the largely predominant bridges.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (Italy); Research Task No. 79.02877.11.

#### REFERENCES

- (1) Fraser, D.A.; Hall, R.W.; Raum, A.L.J. J. Appl. Chem. 1957, 676; Huck, R.M. U.S. Patent 3,332,911, 1967; Drumm, M.F.; Le Blanc, J.R. 'Step Growth Polymerization' Solomon, D.H. Ed., Dekker, New York 1973, p. 157; France Demande, 75.28503, 1975.
- (2) de Breet, A.J.J.; Dankelmann, W.; Huysmans, W.G.B.; de Wit, J. Angew. Makromol. Chem. 1977, <u>62</u>, 7; Dradi, E.; Casiraghi, G.; Casnati, G. Chem. Ind. (London) 1978, 627.
- (3) Sebenik, A.; Lapanje, S. Angew. Makromol. Chem. 1977, <u>63</u>, 139; ibidem 1978, 70, 59.
- (4) Armentrout, D.N.; Mc.Lean, J.D.; Long, M.W. Anal. Chem. 1979, 51, 1039 and references therein.
- (5) Dradi, E.; Casiraghi, G.; Sartori, G.; Casnati, G. Macromolecules 1978, 11, 1295.
- (6) Casiraghi, G.; Sartori, G.; Dradi, E.; Cornia, M.; Casnati, G. Makromol. Chem. 1980, submitted.
- (7) Casiraghi, G.; Casnati, G.; Dradi, E.; Sartori, G. Italian Pat. Appl. 20.271 A/79, 1979.
- (8) Hunter, R.F.; Morton, R.A.; Carpenter, A.T. J. Chem. Soc. 1950, 441; Kämmerer, H.; Pachta, J.; Ritz, J. Makromol. Chem. 1977, <u>178</u>, 1229.
- (9) In the dinuclear to octanuclear P-F oligomer series the law is :  $\varepsilon = 2.480 \text{ n} + 0.121 \text{ cm}^2/\text{mmol}$  for methanol solutions at 280 nm.